1	BUIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	MORGAN, LEWIS & BUCKIUS LLP		
2	RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800	THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice)		
4	Las Vegas, NV 89101	One Market, Spear Street Tower		
3	Telephone: (702) 382-7300	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596		
	Facsimile: (702) 382-2755	Telephone: 415.442.1000		
4	rpocker@bsfllp.com	Facsimile: 415.442.1001		
	•	thomas.hixson@bingham.com		
5	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	kristen.palumbo@bingham.com		
_	WILLIAM ISAACSON (pro hac vice)	DODING ALEXY		
6	KAREN DUNN (pro hac vice)	DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice)		
7	5301 Wisconsin Ave, NW	DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice) JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice)		
,	Washington, DC 20015	ORACLE CORPORATION		
8	Telephone: (202) 237-2727	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7		
Ü	Facsimile: (202) 237-6131	Redwood City, CA 94070		
9	wisaacson@bsfllp.com	Telephone: 650.506.4846		
	kdunn@bsfllp.com	Facsimile: 650.506.7114		
<b>10</b>	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	dorian.daley@oracle.com		
	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice)	deborah.miller@oracle.com		
11	KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice)	jim.maroulis@oracle.com		
10	1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900			
12	Oakland, CA 94612			
13	Telephone: (510) 874-1000			
10	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460			
14	sholtzman@bsfllp.com			
	kringgenberg@bsfllp.com			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,			
1.0	Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International			
16	Corp.			
<b>17</b>				
1,		NICEDICE COLIDE		
18	UNITED STATES D	DISTRICT COURT		
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
19	DISTRICTO	PILVADA		
••				
20	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;	Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL		
21	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware	OD A CLESS MOTION TO SEAL		
41	corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF ORACLE'S MOTION		
22	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	FOR COSTS AND ATTORNEYS'		
	Plaintiffs,	FEES, DECLARATIONS OF THOMAS		
23	V.	S. HIXSON AND KIERAN O.		
		RINGGENBERG AND		
24	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS, AND		
	SETH RAVIN, an individual,	EXHIBITS ACCOMPANYING THE		
25		DECLARATION OF JAMES C.		
26	Defendants.	MAROULIS		
26				
27				
28				

1	Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents	
2	entered by the Court on May 21, 2010, Dkt. 55 ("Protective Order"), and Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of	
3	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and	
4	Oracle International Corporation (collectively "Oracle") respectfully request that the Court order	
5	the Clerk of the Court to file under seal certain portions of (1) Oracle's Motion For Costs And	
6	Attorneys' Fees, (2) Declarations of Thomas S. Hixson ("Hixson Declaration") and Kieran O.	
7	Ringgenberg ("Ringgenberg Declaration) and accompanying exhibits , and (3) exhibits	
8	accompanying the Declaration of James C. Maroulis ("Maroulis Declaration"). These portions	
9	of Oracle's motion and supporting documents reflect information that Oracle, Rimini Street, Inc.	
10	("Rimini") or a third party has designated "Confidential" or designated "Highly Confidential -	
11	Attorneys' Eyes Only" under the Protective Order. Public, redacted versions of Oracle's Motion,	
12	the Hixson Declaration and Exhibits 3-9 and 13-21 attached thereto, Ringgenberg Declaration	
13	and Exhibits 3-14 attached thereto, and Exhibits A-C to the Maroulis Declaration were filed on	
14	November 13, 2015, see Dkts. 917-920, and an unredacted version of each was subsequently	
15	filed under seal with the Court, see Dkts. 922-925.	
16	The Protective Order states, "Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any	
17	Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential Information -	
18	Attorneys' Eyes Only' under the terms of this Protective Order only if such counsel in good	
19	faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to	
20	protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating	
21	Party of any Discovery Material as 'Confidential Information' or 'Highly Confidential	
22	Information – Attorneys' Eyes Only' shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the	
23	Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation." Protective	
24	Order ¶ 2 (emphasis supplied).	
25	DOCUMENTS DESIGNATED BY ORACLE AS CONFIDENTIAL	
26	Oracle has designated Exhibits 3-9 and 13-21 to the Hixson Declaration, Exhibits 3-14 to	
27	the Ringgenberg Declaration, portions of those declarations, and Exhibits A, B and C to the	
28	Maroulis Declaration as Confidential. Oracle requests that the Court order the Clerk of the Court	

1	to file those exhibits and portions under seal, as well as certain portions of Oracle's Motion For		
2	Costs And Attorneys' Fees and the Hixson and Ringgenberg Declarations that reflect the		
3	information contained in the aforementioned exhibits.		
4	The "Confidential" designation indicates that, in Oracle's best judgment, the documents		
5	contains "non-public information or matter related to: financial or business plans or projections;		
6	current or future business and marketing information, plans, and strategies; studies or		
7	analyses by internal or outside experts; customer information, data or lists; competitive		
8	analyses; or other commercially or personally sensitive or proprietary information."		
9	Protective Order ¶ 3. In particular, portions of Oracle's Motion For Costs And Attorneys' Fees;		
10	the Hixson and Ringgenberg Declarations; the accompanying Exhibits; and the Exhibits		
11	accompanying the Maroulis declaration, contain confidential competitive information of		
12	negotiated billing rates between Oracle and its attorneys, experts and other vendors, and		
13	sensitive, case-specific pricing information. Further, the documents reveal the details of Oracle's		
14	relationships with these companies, and the terms of their agreements, which are also negotiated.		
15	These negotiated billing rates and agreement terms are commercially sensitive and should not be		
16	publically disclosed insofar as any such disclosure would create a competitive disadvantage.		
17	Apple Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1221 (Fed. Cir. 2013) ("One factor		
18	that weighs in favor of sealing documents is when the release of the documents will cause		
19	competitive harm to a business.")		
20	Disclosure of this confidential information could interfere with Oracle's current or future		
21	business relationships or plans. Thus, there is a compelling interest for this information to be		
22	sealed.		
23	DOCUMENTS DESIGNATED BY RIMINI OR A THIRD PARTY AS		
24	CONFIDENTIAL OR HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY		
25	Rimini or a third party have designated the following documents cited or referred to in		
26	Oracle's Motion for Costs and Attorneys' Fees as Confidential ("C") or Highly Confidential -		
27	Attorneys' Eyes Only ("HC"):		

**28** 

_						
1						
2	Ex.	<b>Description</b> (Ravin 7/21/2010 Dep.) 303:9-23, 306:3-13, 307:4-12, 307:13-308:13,	Designation			
3	21	321:17-23, 325:20-326:9	HC bar Diagini			
4	21 20	(Ravin 5/21/2009 Dep.) at 35:17-37:12; 41:13-18, 110:2-111:15	HC by Rimini C by SAP			
5		Oracle submits these documents under seal pursuant to the Protective Order based on				
6	Rim	Rimini's or the third party's representation that it reasonably believes there is a valid basis under				
7	the l	the Protective Order for its confidentiality designations. Because the documents were designated				
8	by F	by Rimini or a third party, Oracle is not in a position to provide further justification for why				
9	filing them publicly would cause Rimini or the third party harm sufficient to show good cause.					
10		Oracle has submitted all other portions of Oracle's Motion For Costs And Attorneys'				
11	Fees	Fees; Declarations of Thomas S. Hixson and Kieran O. Ringgenberg and Accompanying				
12	Exhibits; and Exhibits Accompanying The Declaration Of James C. Maroulis to the Court's					
13	public files, which allow public access to all materials except for the items discussed above.					
14	Acc	Accordingly, the request to seal is narrowly tailored. For the foregoing reasons, Oracle				
15	resp	respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file under seal the documents discussed above.				
16						
17	DA	TED: November 13, 2015 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIU	S LLP			
18		By: <u>/s/ Thomas S. H</u>				
19		Thomas S. Hixson Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
20		Oracle USA, Inc.,				
21		Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp.				
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		A				